1	individuals in question expressed some surprise at the fact
2	that when KOKS was off the air, they did not observe a picture
3	in as good a quality as they would have hoped, correct?
4	A Correct.
5	Q From your experience, do you have any explanation as to
6	why that would have assuming that, assuming that those
7	individuals made a valid observation that the picture was not
8	as good in 1992 as they remember it being in 1988 when the
9	when K before KOKS came on the air, would there be any
10	explanation in your experience as to why they would have
11	observed that change?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And what explanation is that?
14	A It would be because they've added the coax cable and/or
15	filtering that have increased the signal loss that's reaching
16	the television set degrading the signal even further.
17	Q Would there be any other reason?
18	A Again, the strong radio signals in the area would
19	reduce the could reduce the sensitivity of the television
20	set.
21	Q All right. Well, what, what strong radio signals in
22	the area other than KOKS?
23	A Other than KOKS? It's possible that other radio
24	stations such as KKLR could contribute some to that reduced
25	sensitivity.

environment in 1992 than there was in 1990 1988, correct? A Exactly, yes. Q All right, but we don't know the extent of it. A No. Q When you were at a home represented in your investigation report, what period of time would you spend there in order to observe television reception with KOKS on the air and with KOKS off the air? A Basically, we would go into a home if I may explain what we did, it might help answer your question. JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. WITNESS: Okay. We would go into a home with a video camera and just look at a picture with the station on the air, videotape that picture on that channel, switch the channel, videotape it, and go through all four channels on every television set in a home, and then call the station and have them go off the air, which sometimes may have taken a couple of minutes for them to go off the air. They may have been at a point in their programming they couldn't go right off that exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In other words, how much time would you devote to videotaping a	1	Q In other words, there's a different electromagnetic
Q All right, but we don't know the extent of it. A No. Q When you were at a home represented in your investigation report, what period of time would you spend there in order to observe television reception with KOKS on the air and with KOKS off the air? A Basically, we would go into a home if I may explain what we did, it might help answer your question. JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. WITNESS: Okay. We would go into a home with a video camera and just look at a picture with the station on the air, videotape that picture on that channel, switch the channel, videotape it, and go through all four channels on every television set in a home, and then call the station and have them go off the air, which sometimes may have taken a couple of minutes for them to go off the air. They may have been at a point in their programming they couldn't go right off that exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	2	environment in 1992 than there was in 1990 1988, correct?
A No. Q When you were at a home represented in your investigation report, what period of time would you spend there in order to observe television reception with KOKS on the air and with KOKS off the air? A Basically, we would go into a home if I may explain what we did, it might help answer your question. JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. WITNESS: Okay. We would go into a home with a video camera and just look at a picture with the station on the air, videotape that picture on that channel, switch the channel, videotape it, and go through all four channels on every television set in a home, and then call the station and have them go off the air, which sometimes may have taken a couple of minutes for them to go off the air. They may have been at a point in their programming they couldn't go right off that exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q Bow long would this videotaping process take place? In	3	A Exactly, yes.
Q When you were at a home represented in your investigation report, what period of time would you spend there in order to observe television reception with KOKS on the air and with KOKS off the air? A Basically, we would go into a home if I may explain what we did, it might help answer your question. JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. WITNESS: Okay. We would go into a home with a video camera and just look at a picture with the station on the air, videotape that picture on that channel, switch the channel, videotape it, and go through all four channels on every television set in a home, and then call the station and have them go off the air, which sometimes may have taken a couple of minutes for them to go off the air. They may have been at a point in their programming they couldn't go right off that exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	4	Q All right, but we don't know the extent of it.
gation report, what period of time would you spend there in order to observe television reception with KOKS on the air and with KOKS off the air? A Basically, we would go into a home if I may explain what we did, it might help answer your question. JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. WITNESS: Okay. We would go into a home with a video camera and just look at a picture with the station on the air, videotape that picture on that channel, switch the channel, videotape it, and go through all four channels on every television set in a home, and then call the station and have them go off the air, which sometimes may have taken a couple of minutes for them to go off the air. They may have been at a point in their programming they couldn't go right off that exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	5	A No.
order to observe television reception with KOKS on the air and with KOKS off the air? A Basically, we would go into a home if I may explain what we did, it might help answer your question. JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. WITNESS: Okay. We would go into a home with a video camera and just look at a picture with the station on the air, videotape that picture on that channel, switch the channel, videotape it, and go through all four channels on every television set in a home, and then call the station and have them go off the air, which sometimes may have taken a couple of minutes for them to go off the air. They may have been at a point in their programming they couldn't go right off that exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	6	Q When you were at a home represented in your investi-
with KOKS off the air? A Basically, we would go into a home if I may explain what we did, it might help answer your question. JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. WITNESS: Okay. We would go into a home with a video camera and just look at a picture with the station on the air, videotape that picture on that channel, switch the channel, videotape it, and go through all four channels on every television set in a home, and then call the station and have them go off the air, which sometimes may have taken a couple of minutes for them to go off the air. They may have been at a point in their programming they couldn't go right off that exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	7	gation report, what period of time would you spend there in
A Basically, we would go into a home if I may explain what we did, it might help answer your question. JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. WITNESS: Okay. We would go into a home with a video camera and just look at a picture with the station on the air, videotape that picture on that channel, switch the channel, videotape it, and go through all four channels on every tele- vision set in a home, and then call the station and have them go off the air, which sometimes may have taken a couple of minutes for them to go off the air. They may have been at a point in their programming they couldn't go right off that exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	8	order to observe television reception with KOKS on the air and
what we did, it might help answer your question. JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. WITNESS: Okay. We would go into a home with a video camera and just look at a picture with the station on the air, videotape that picture on that channel, switch the channel, videotape it, and go through all four channels on every television set in a home, and then call the station and have them go off the air, which sometimes may have taken a couple of minutes for them to go off the air. They may have been at a point in their programming they couldn't go right off that exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	9	with KOKS off the air?
JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. WITNESS: Okay. We would go into a home with a video camera and just look at a picture with the station on the air, videotape that picture on that channel, switch the channel, videotape it, and go through all four channels on every television set in a home, and then call the station and have them go off the air, which sometimes may have taken a couple of minutes for them to go off the air. They may have been at a point in their programming they couldn't go right off that exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	10	A Basically, we would go into a home if I may explain
WITNESS: Okay. We would go into a home with a video camera and just look at a picture with the station on the air, videotape that picture on that channel, switch the channel, videotape it, and go through all four channels on every television set in a home, and then call the station and have them go off the air, which sometimes may have taken a couple of minutes for them to go off the air. They may have been at a point in their programming they couldn't go right off that exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	11	what we did, it might help answer your question.
camera and just look at a picture with the station on the air, videotape that picture on that channel, switch the channel, videotape it, and go through all four channels on every tele- vision set in a home, and then call the station and have them go off the air, which sometimes may have taken a couple of minutes for them to go off the air. They may have been at a point in their programming they couldn't go right off that exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	12	JUDGE STIRMER: Very well.
videotape that picture on that channel, switch the channel, videotape it, and go through all four channels on every tele- vision set in a home, and then call the station and have them go off the air, which sometimes may have taken a couple of minutes for them to go off the air. They may have been at a point in their programming they couldn't go right off that exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	13	WITNESS: Okay. We would go into a home with a video
videotape it, and go through all four channels on every tele- vision set in a home, and then call the station and have them go off the air, which sometimes may have taken a couple of minutes for them to go off the air. They may have been at a point in their programming they couldn't go right off that exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	14	camera and just look at a picture with the station on the air,
vision set in a home, and then call the station and have them go off the air, which sometimes may have taken a couple of minutes for them to go off the air. They may have been at a point in their programming they couldn't go right off that exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	15	videotape that picture on that channel, switch the channel,
go off the air, which sometimes may have taken a couple of minutes for them to go off the air. They may have been at a point in their programming they couldn't go right off that exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	16	videotape it, and go through all four channels on every tele-
minutes for them to go off the air. They may have been at a point in their programming they couldn't go right off that exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	17	vision set in a home, and then call the station and have them
point in their programming they couldn't go right off that exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	18	go off the air, which sometimes may have taken a couple of
exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	19	minutes for them to go off the air. They may have been at a
half hour, 45 minutes. BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	20	point in their programming they couldn't go right off that
BY MR. SHOOK: Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	21	exact minute. Most of the homes, I believe, would have ran a
Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In	22	half hour, 45 minutes.
	23	BY MR. SHOOK:
25 other words, how much time would you devote to videotaping a	24	Q How long would this videotaping process take place? In
1	25	other words, how much time would you devote to videotaping a

particular channel, in a particular home, on a particular 1 2 television set? 3 Only 1 or 2 minutes each. Now, would that also hold true with KOKS off the air, 4 5 that you would devote 1 or 2 minutes with respect to each 6 channel on each television that you looked at? Α Yes. 8 And it was during this process that at least one home, and perhaps two or three homes, you observed what you believed to be some form of two-way interference? 11 Α Yes. 12 And it came and it went during the period while you 13 were watching? 14 Α Yes. 15 Okay, I'd like to refer you to KOKS Exhibit 6, page 3. 16 Α Okay. 17 All right, the third full paragraph there contains a 18 reference to the highway patrol. Do you see that? 19 Α Yes. 20 All right. You were -- do you know for a fact what 21 power the highway patrol actually uses? 22 Α No. 23 Would the effects of the highway patrol -- strike that. 24 Considering -- I, I want to change the, change the -- strike that, change the subject. I'd like to refer your attention to 25

1	your report, Mass Media Exhibit 1, page 10.
2	A All right.
3	Q The last paragraph on the page?
4	A Okay.
5	Q Now, were you aware at any time that the station
6	operated at any power other than 100,000 watts from the time
7	it went on the air until your investigation in 1992?
8	A No.
9	Q You were not aware, then, that the station operated at
10	55 percent of power for several months?
11	A No.
12	Q You were not aware that the station had operated at
13	different times with as little power as 25 percent of autho-
14	rized power?
15	A No, I was not aware of that.
16	Q If you had been aware of that, would, would that
17	awareness have changed your observations in this paragraph?
18	A It, it would still be doubtful. I do not believe it
19	would have changed my, my comments.
20	Q Are you aware well, there's, there's testimony in
21	the record that when a phenomenon known as "arcing" occurred,
22	that to deal with it, the station would turn its power
23	yould reduce its power to 90 percent, or roughly in the range
24	of 90 percent of authorized effective radiated power, and then
25	then the danger of arcing passed, the station would turn back

1	up to 100,000 watts. Would that have any effect on what
2	people observed on their television sets?
3	A Possibly. I, I doubt it, though.
4	Q Would the arcing itself have any effect on what a, a
5	person would be observing on his television set while the
6	arcing was actually occurring?
7	A Definitely. Arcing can cause interference.
8	Q And do you have any knowledge as to what it is a person
9	might observe on his television set if arcing on the let me
10	start over again. If the person were within the blanketing
11	contour of KOKS, and arcing was experiencing arcing was
12	taking place in the KOKS tower, what might a person what
13	would a person be likely to observe on his TV set while this
14	arcing was taking place?
15	A Most of the cases I've seen, it would be in the form of
16	snow or something similar to snow, a lot of sparkling things
17	on, on the television screen.
18	Q But it would be a distinctive form of, of interference?
19	A It would be a constant form as long as the arc is
20	occurring.
21	Q As long as the arc is occurring.
22	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, I have no further questions.
23	JUDGE STIRMER: Let me ask you a question before
24	Mr. Dunne. You have visited the area of, of the site, have
25	you not?

1	WITNESS: Yes.
2	JUDGE STIRMER: How would you describe that area with
3	respect to whether or not it's a populated area or an unpopu-
4	lated area?
5	WITNESS: I would say it's a populated area. It's not
6	densely populated, but it is populated.
7	JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
8	MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, I just have one or two ques-
9	tions.
10	RECROSS EXAMINATION
11	BY MR DUNNE:
12	Q I believe your testimony was, Mr. Ramage, that in the,
13	the presence of an extremely weak signal, that blanketing
14	interference might not, on the set, look like the overload
15	interference you testified about earlier this morning.
16	A Correct.
17	Q How weak would that signal have to be before blanketing
18	interference would be indistinguishable from any other
19	electrical interference?
20	A At times it is hard to distinguish when it's, when it's
21	just snow, whether it is from electrical or another source,
22	when it's constant like that.
23	Q Okay, but I believe your testimony was that when
24	blanketing interference is, is not distinguishable as a inter-
25	ference phenomenon only in a very weak signal, is that cor-

1	rect?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Okay, what I'm trying to get to from you is some sort
4	of idea about how weak that signal might be before blanketing
5	interference becomes indistinguishable from anything else.
6	A I don't know in numbers how weak that would be. It
7	would be your weaker TASO ratings, probably your 4, 5, 6 TASO
8	ratings, where you already have a slightly snowy picture to
9	begin with, but I don't have any feel for, for field strength.
10	Q Okay, so okay. So you couldn't testify from your
11	own personal knowledge whether at the point where blanketing
12	interference becomes indistinguishable from a weak signal.
13	A Not from just looking at the screen alone other than
14	trying other measures other means to determine what was the
15	cause of it.
16	Q Okay. Well, let me ask you this, Mr. Ramage. Would
17	the point where blanketing interference becomes indistinguish-
18	able from a weak signal be the point where you'd have a watch-
19	able picture, what generally people would generally con-
20	sider a watchable picture, picture? In your experience or to
21	your knowledge.
22	A If you would restate that. I was trying to listen to
23	what you were saying and concentrate
24	Q Would the picture that you would be looking at on a TV
25	set, when blanketing interference is interacting with such a

1	weak signal that blanketing interference is not distinguish-
2	able on a TV set, wouldn't that be on a, on a TV picture that
3	is essentially not a very watchable picture anyway?
4	A It would yeah, it would be a, a poor picture.
5	Q A very poor picture, is that correct?
6	A Yes.
7	MR. DUNNE: I have no further questions, Your Honor.
8	JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. May the witness be excused?
9	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, there was one question that
10	I
11	JUDGE STIRMER: All right, ask it.
12	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. SHOOK:
14	Q Mr. Ramage, with respect to page 31 of Mass Media
15	Exhibit 1, underneath the all the numbers about the various
16	TV's, there's a sentence that begins, "The coax installed
17	by KOKS personnel" et cetera. Do you see that sentence?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Now, what is the significance, if any, of the absence
20	of a balun?
21	A A balun?
22	Q A balun.
23	A A balun a the twin lead is 300 ohms resistance.
24	The coax is typically 75 ohms, so if you have a mismatch in
25	the resistance, you can have the signal may not transfer

1	through the, the splice as, as well, which it, it what
2	the balun does is it matches up the 300-ohm wire to the 75-ohm
3	wire, and without that you, you have problems a lot of times
4	with signals going through cleanly. They're not matched up;
5	they have trouble feeding into the other line.
6	Q So as a general matter, that would have a negative
7	effect on the quality of the picture.
8	A Definitely.
9	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, I have no further questions.
10	JUDGE STIRMER: All right, may the witness be excused?
11	MR. DUNNE: Yes.
12	JUDGE STIRMER: Thank you very much, Mr. Ramage, you're
13	excused. Would you call your next witness, Mr. Shook?
14	MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, before we get to that may I
15	have just a moment to consult with Mr. Shook?
16	JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
17	MR. DUNNE: If you recall, we were
18	JUDGE STIRMER: All right, off the record a moment.
19	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
20	MR. DUNNE: Pursuant to an off-the-record discussion, I
21	told Mr. Shook that KOKS is withdrawing its request to
22	cross-examine two of the witnesses sponsoring exhibits submit-
23	ted by the Mass Media Bureau, specifically Edward Hodgins the
24	sponsor of Exhibit No. 8, and Sandra Durbin, the sponsor of
25	Exhibit No. 5. We're not going to be calling those people to

1	a cross-examination. If they're around, they can be released.
2	JUDGE STIRMER: Very well.
3	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor
4	JUDGE STIRMER: Would you notify those witnesses that
5	they're released
6	MR. SHOOK: Yes, Your Honor.
7	JUDGE STIRMER: from their subpoenas
8	MR. SHOOK: Yes, Your Honor.
9	JUDGE STIRMER: that were previously served upon
10	them?
11	MR. SHOOK: Yes, Your Honor. For my next witness, I
12	will call Doris Smith.
13	JUDGE STIRMER: Very well.
14	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
15	JUDGE STIRMER: Mrs. Smith, would you please come
16	forward and be sworn? On the record.
17	WITNESS: Okay.
18	MR. SHOOK: The hot seat.
19	Whereupon,
20	DORIS SMITH
21	having first been duly sworn was called as a witness herein
22	and was examined and testified as follows:
23	JUDGE STIRMER: Would you please have a seat, ma'am?
24	Mrs. Smith, your sworn written testimony has been received in
25	evidence and you're now available for cross-examination.

1	WITNESS: Okay.
2	JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. Mr. Dunne?
3	MR. DUNNE: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	CROSS EXAMINATION
5	BY MR DUNNE:
6	Q Mrs. Smith, my name is Joseph Dunne. I'm the attorney
7	for KOKS, the applicant in this proceeding. I'm going to be
8	asking you some questions with respect to your written testi-
9	mony. If you don't hear my question, or you don't understand
10	my question, or if it's unartfully put and confuses you,
11	please let us know?
12	A May I have a copy of my written testimony to refer to?
13	Q I believe it's in front of you.
14	A No, this is the testimony of Ronald Ramage. Okay.
15	Q Okay. So, once again, Mrs you've got the testimony
16	in front of you there?
17	A Right.
18	Q Okay. If you don't understand something or you don't
19	hear something, please let us know, all right. Our purpose
20	here is to get a clear and correct answer for the record. All
21	right? Mrs. Smith, how long have you lived at the address
22	you've noted in paragraph 1 of your testimony?
23	A I've lived there about 11 years.
24	Q Okay, and prior to the time KOKS came on the air, how
25	long have the Smiths been your excuse me the Stewarts

1	been your next-door neighbor?
2	A Let's see, they've been on the, the air, the air for 4
3	years, and I think they probably lived there, probably, 3
4	years before that, 2 or 3 years. I don't know exactly.
5	Q Okay, so they were, they were living as your next-door
6	neigh for 2 or 3 years.
7	A Before they put up the tower, yes.
8	Q Okay. Prior to the time KOKS came on the air, did you
9	have any conversations? Did you have any
10	A Before KOKS came on the air?
11	Q I'm sorry, we I'll re-ask the question. Prior to
12	the time KOKS came on the air, did you have any relationship
13	with the Stewarts at all?
14	A Not really. We had met out in the yard one day and
15	introduced ourselves and noted that we were neighbors, and
16	they more or less stayed on their property, we more or less on
17	ours. We did not visit back and forth. We had just intro-
18	duced ourselves and we're friendly.
19	Q Okay, so basically you just kind of said "hi" as you
20	passed
21	A Yes.
22	Q and that was the extent of your relationship up
23	until the time KOKS came on the air.
24	A Right, right. Up until the time they delivered the
25	tower. I had a

1	Q Okay, and when did they deliver the tower?
2	A It was about in March, I think, of '88.
3	Q And what happened then, if anything?
4	A I happened to be going to the mailbox, and I saw all
5	these trucks going down the road with the tower pieces, and
6	there were some men out in the road surveying, and I said,
7	"What is that you're building?" And one of the men said,
8	"We're putting up a radio tower." And I said, "Why are you
9	putting it there where you're putting it?" And he said, "You
10	know, I wondered that myself and I asked the man who, who's
11	having us put the tower up, and his answer to me was, `Because
12	it's my land and I can do what I want to with it.'" So I
13	immediately went in the house, and I called Mr. Stewart, and I
14	said
15	Q I'm sorry, excuse me, but who was the man who made this
16	statement?
17	A Some man who was surveying. He was standing out in the
18	road next to mailbox, and he was surveying.
19	Q And he told you "this is my land and I'm going to do
20	whatever I want with it"?
21	A Yeah, he said he had asked the man who was paying them
22	to survey and put up the tower why he was putting it where he
23	was putting it, and the man's answer to him, Mr. Stewart's
24	answer to him was "because it's my land and I can do what I
25	want to with it."

1	Q And then what happened, Mrs., Mrs. Smith?
2	A I went back into the house and I immediately picked up
3	the telephone, and I called Mr. Stewart, and I said, "I under-
4	
	stand you're putting a radio tower up next door to me. " And I
5	said, "I object on the grounds that it will be an eyesore and
6	it will devalue our property." And his answer to me was,
7	"Thank you for calling," and he hung up. And that irritated
8	me a little, and I immediately dialed the phone right back and
9	I said, "Mr. Stewart, will this interfere with our TV
10	reception?" And he said, "No, it won't," and he hung up. And
11	that was all the conversation that we had until the day they
12	came on the air.
13	Q Okay, is it your testimony that now, you spoke with,
14	with Mr. Stewart, Donald Stewart
15	A Right.
16	Q at this time.
17	A Right.
18	Q And that the approximate time was when?
19	A I would say it was March or April of '88.
20	Q And you picked up the telephone and called Mr. Stewart,
21	and said, "I object you putting the tower up."
22	A Right.
23	Q Did you make any mention about taking the tower down?
24	A No.
25	Q And you made

1	A	I just stated my objections.
2	Q	Okay, just stated your objections.
3	A	Yes, I did.
4	Q	And at that time, it's your testimony, that, that you
5	at tha	t time said asked him whether the radio station would
6	interf	ere with the TV set?
7	A	On the second phone call. I immediately dialed him
8	back be	ecause he had hung up so abruptly. I said,
9	"Mr. St	tewart, will this interfere with our TV reception?" He
10	said,	"No, it won't," and he hung up again, and that was the
11	end of	our conversation.
12	Q	Okay, did there ever come a time strike that. From
13	April,	this telephone call, to the time KOKS came on the air,
14	did you	ever communicate to Mr. Stewart that you were having
15	any pro	oblems with your TV reception?
16	A	Not until the day that they turned it on. I did not
17	speak t	to him again until the day that they came on the air.
18	Q	Okay, and you didn't speak to anyone else, for example,
19	Mrs. St	cewart?
20	A	No, not until the day they came on the air.
21	Q	Okay, and you didn't speak to anyone else in, in town,
22	for exa	mple?
23	A	About television reception?
24	Q	Um-hum.
25	A	No.

1	JUDGE STIRMER: Was the erection of this tower adjacent
2	to your property a matter of discussion among your other
3	neighbors?
4	WITNESS: I think I discussed it maybe with the
5	Hillises and told her that I objected or something, not with
6	any of the other neighbors. I can't remember ever discussing
7	it with any of the other neighbors, just maybe with the
8	Hillises. I felt it would devalue our property, which I think
9	it did. I think any neighbor would object to having that
10	tower that close to their house.
11	JUDGE STIRMER: I take it, then, you were very dis-
12	satisfied with the fact that this, this tower was being
13	erected right next to your house?
14	WITNESS: Well, I didn't like it at all, no, but I
15	didn't say anything else to him or to anyone else. I didn't
16	complain; I didn't fuss; I didn't go around and get any peti-
17	tion signed or anything else, you know, because of the tower
18	being there. It was when the interference started
19	JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
20	WITNESS: that I complained.
21	(Pause.)
22	MR. DUNNE: Are you through, Your Honor?
23	JUDGE STIRMER: Yes.
24	MR. DUNNE: I simply didn't want to interrupt you.
25	BY MR DUNNE:

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.

Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 974-0947

1	Q Mrs. Smith, and it's your testimony in paragraph 2 that
2	you used to watch you could receive Channel 6 but the
3	picture had a little snow.
4	A Yes.
5	Q When you say "a little snow," can you characterize that
6	for us? I mean, was it a good picture?
7	A It was a fairly good picture, and sometimes it was as
8	good as Channel 12. A lot of times, though, it had a little
9	snow.
10	Q Did you have a do you have a directional antenna?
11	A At the time
12	Q That's right, at the time did you have a directional
13	antenna?
14	A this first started, we had an antenna that had been
15	on the house when we bought it, and the reception was good
16	enough that we just had not bothered to replace it. It was an
17	old antenna, but we got fairly decent reception and we had not
18	bothered to replace it.
19	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, at this point I would like to
20	interject an admonition to the witness just to try to focus on
21	the question that's being asked. Answer the question. If
22	there's some part of the question that you don't understand,
23	tell Mr. Dunne.
24	WITNESS: Okay.
25	MR. DUNNE: Thank you, Mr. Shook.

1		WITNESS: I'm nervous.
2		JUDGE STIRMER: Just relax. Just try to answer the
3	questi	on that's asked of you and don't volunteer
4		WITNESS: Don't elaborate, okay.
5		JUDGE STIRMER: information.
6		WITNESS: Okay.
7		BY MR DUNNE:
8	Q	Okay, Channel 6 comes out of Paducah, Kentucky, is that
9	correc	t?
10	A	Right.
11	Q	That's a long distance from where you are, is that
12	correc	t?
13	A	I guess.
14	Q	Well, do you guess or do you know?
15		JUDGE STIRMER: Well, what's a long distance,
16	Mr. Du	nne?
17		BY MR DUNNE:
18	Q	How far is Paducah from, from Poplar Bluff, Mrs. Smith?
19	A	I think about 180 miles, but I'm not real positive.
20	Q	As Channel at the time that KOKS came on the air,
21	what no	etwork service, if any, did Channel 6 provide for you?
22	A	What network service? I don't understand the question.
23	Q	Was it ABC, CBS, or NBC?
24	A	NBC.
25	Q	It was an NBC affiliate, is that correct?

1	A	Um-hum.
2	Q	Did you receive any other NBC affiliates off the air?
3	A	No.
4	Q	So it's fair to say that Channel 6 was a valuable
5	signal	to you.
6	A	Yes, of course.
7.	Q	Okay, then KOKS came on the air. Do you remember when?
8	A	It was late September or early October of '88.
9	Q	Okay, and then what happened?
10	A	Well, they immediately knocked two of my TV channels
11	off th	e air.
12	Q	And those channels were?
13	A	Channel 6 and Channel 8.
14	Q	When you say "knocked off the air," that means you
15	didn't	see anything at all?
16	A	Totally black, KOKS audio coming over them.
17	Q	And then what happened, if anything?
18	A	To my TV or what?
19	Q	Then what did you do?
20	A	I picked up the phone immediately and called over to
21	Mr. St	ewart's house and said, "What are you doing? You've
22	knocke	d two of my channels off the TV, and
23	Q	And to whom did you speak?
24	A	I think it was him, but I'm not positive. But I do
25	think	it was him.

Okay. And when -- was that the first day the station 1 0 came on the air, if you recall? As far as I remember, and I was home that day, so --3 A And what was Mr. Stewart's response? 4 It seems like he said, "Bear with us, we're working on 5 We'll get it fixed." Or some such. 6 Okay. Did there come a time that you made another call 7 to the station concerning interference? 8 Several. 9 A Okay, well, let's start with --10 Just about every day. 11 A Okay, let's start with the next one. What happened? 12 Q Well, probably before the next call to the station I 13 A called Kansas City and spoke to the FCC. 14 Okay, and you did this how long after the station went 15 on the air, if you recall? 16 I called them either the same day they went on the air 17 A or the very next day. It was right away. 18 At that time, did you lodge a formal complaint? 19 Q I just -- a telephone complaint. That was it, a 20 telephone complaint. 21 But it was a complaint. 22 Right, and I was told, "Bear with them, if they don't 23 get the problem solved within 4 days, we'll shut them down." 24 Four days. 25

1	Q	Who told you that, if you recall?
2	A	Karen Raines.
3	Q	Obviously they didn't get shut down in 4 days.
4	A	No.
5	Q	Did you make, did you make any other calls to, to
6	KOKS	-
7	A	I don't
8	Q	following that call?
9	A	I don't remember how frequently, but I know I made
10	several	calls.
11	Q	Um-hum.
12	A	Probably once a week, once, you know, every couple of
13	weeks.	
14	Q	Okay. Say from the beginning let's, let's assume,
15	let's s	stipulate the station went on the air October early
16	October	1988. Through November 1, 1988, the first month or
17	say, we	e'll say
18	A	The first month?
19	Q	the first 6 weeks of operation.
20	A	Okay.
21	Q	During that time, did KOKS make any response at all to
22	your co	omplaints?
23	A	Mr. Stewart came over to my house sometime late
24	Novembe	er, I would say, and he just showed up unannounced, but
25	I had s	spoken, probably, to Nina Stewart three or four times

1 I during that month, maybe once a week. I don't remember at this point once a week, twice a week, what, but I had spoken 2 3 to her. They had not come over; they had not made any pro-Just that "we're working on it, we're working on it." mises. 5 Mrs. Smith, just a minute, prior to the time that 6 Mr. Stewart came over in, you say, late November 1988 --7 Α Okay. 8 -- was there anyone else from KOKS, any representative --10 Α No one. 11 -- that spoke to you at all? 12 Α No one. 13 Do you know who was the manager of KOKS at that time? Q 14 Was there a Mr. Tippen or something like that? 15 were, there were several names mentioned but I don't know. 16 Q Okay. 17 I think there was a Mr. Tippen. Okay, if, if, if you recall now, Mrs. -- if you remem-18 19 ber now, did you talk to anyone with respect to interference 20 complaints other than Mrs. Stewart or Mr. Stewart? 21 I don't think so. Α 22 Okay. 23 I'm not positive, but I don't think so. 24 Okay, if you don't remember, Mrs. Smith, you don't 25 remember. We want the record to be clear on this, okay?

|it's okay not to remember. Did you ever speak to someone by the name of Earl Abernathy? 2 The name does not ring a bell. 3 Α Did --Q I cannot say. 5 -- you speak to someone that represented himself as 6 a -- as the station's engineer? 7 Never. Not during that period of time. I called Α No. 8 Mr. Lampe later on but --9 Okay, then -- no, no, no, don't --10 11 Α Okay. Let's, let's answer the question I asked you, 12 Mrs. Smith, all right? 13 Okay. 14 A Okay, let's go back to the -- Mr. Smith's visit in 15 16 November of --Mr. Stewart's? 17 Mr. Stewart's, I'm sorry. I'm having problems with 18 names today, Mrs. Smith. You'll have to excuse me. Mr. Shook 19 is here to correct me when I begin to drift off. 20 Mr. Stewart visited your home, what did he do, if anything? 21 Okay, he showed up at my door unannounced; it was a 22 friendly greeting between us. He came in and said, "I've got 23 something to put on your TV." And I said, "Fine, there it 24 I had a little portable sitting on the table, and he put 25

something that looked like two pieces of antenna wire about so 1 2 long with a clip on the end and hooked that on the back, and it didn't change anything. Tried it from station to station, 3 it didn't do anything. And he said, "Well, that's funny. worked on my TV at home." And he said, "There must be some-5 6 thing wrong with your set." He said, "I'm going to go home 7 and get my set. I'll be right back." And he went home and he 8 was gone a couple minutes, and he came back, and he had a portable set about the same size as mine, and he set it on the 10 table right next to mine. His wouldn't work, either. 11 couldn't get any different -- you know, a better picture on 12 his either. And then he took the, the thing off the back that 13 he'd put on mine, and he went downstairs and he attached it to 14 the TV downstairs, and he couldn't get any better reception on 15 that television, and he said, "Well, I don't know what's 16 I'll be back," or something of that nature, and he 17 left. You know, "I'll discuss this with an engineer," or, "I'll, I'll see what I can do, " or something, and, and he 18 19 left, and there were no unfriendly words. It was a friendly 20 conversation. I, I do remember something that we did say 21 right before he left, though. 22 Go ahead. 23 I asked him, I said, "I understand you're going to go 24 to 24 hours a day soon." And he said, "Yes, that's right." 25 And I said, "I also understand you're going to go to 100,000

|watts." And he said, "Yes." And I said, "Without clearing up| this interference problem?" And he said, "Yes." And that's when I looked straight at him and I said, "You'll have a fight 3 on your hands." 4 Um-hum. 5 Q But I -- but it wasn't said unfriendly. I mean --6 Okay. 7 Q -- that was just a statement. 8 Α Okay, and this --9 0 And the man left. 10 Α The alleged statement was made in late November of 11 Q 1988. 12 Yes. 13 Α Now, there came a time, Mrs. Smith, did there 14 not, that you made a written complaint to the FCC and, and --15 16 Α Oh, yeah. Okay. 17 Q Somewhere in there. 18 19 Q That's --I think I -- it was either 2 or 3 weeks after they went 20 Α on the air. 21

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.

It's page 7 and 8 of your testimony, and that's

I have to find out.

22

23

24

25

Α

the FCC, is that correct?

Uh-huh.

Court Reporting Depositions D.C. Area 261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 974-0947

Okay, and, and that is the complaint that you sent to